

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mil

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/920,104 | 08/01/2001 | Yasushi Fujinami | 450100-03401 | 4849 |
| 20999 FROMMER I. | 7590 03/08/2007 AWRENCE & HAUG | | EXAMINER | |
| 745 FIFTH AV | VENUE- 10TH FL. | | SHIBRU, HELEN | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10151 | , | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2621 | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/08/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| f. • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/920,104 | FUJINAMI, YASUSH | - 11 | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | HELEN SHIBRU | 2621 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mug date of the final rejection. | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, e | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | · | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |

℃ontinuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation, 'on said transmission line,' added to the independent claims changed the scope that would require further search.

GUPERNOLOG GENTER 2000